

Blue Numbers are outside research inputs  
Black numbers are spreadsheet calculations  
Red numbers are our estimates

- a. Linked items reference website where found
- b. Email Website for actual Spreadsheets if needed

Government family planning Funding cost/ year

**GOVERNMENT SAVINGS DUE TO FUNDING OF FAMILY PLANNING SERVICES (family of 2.5)**  
(Using ONLY 3 of 79 entitlement programs available to safety-net families AND 50% of unintended births & 90% of school)

The Enormous Fiscal Benefits of Family Planning

(Please read the numbers first and then use the letters to check if logic and computation are correct)

		<b>\$ 2,370,000,000</b>		Facts on Publicly Funded Contraceptive Service in the U.S. Fiscal Year 2014
<b>Total Unintended Pregnancies Prevented</b>		<b>1,881,000</b>		<b>(Based on Guttmacher Institute Report 2016)</b>
<b>Unintended Pregnancies that would be Miscarriages</b>		<b>289,000</b>		"
<b>Unintended Pregnancies that would be aborted</b>		<b>678,000</b>		" show 963
<b>Unintended Pregnancy Births Prevented</b>		<b>914,000 (A)</b>		" 100 % safety-net people (Title 10 eligible)
<b>US average Medicaid cost of birth and early child care (states all vary)</b>		<b>\$ 12,770 (B)</b>		Kaiser Family Foundation nationwide average (Over 40% of U.S. Births funded by Medicaid)
<b>First Year Savings (only medical costs)</b>	(A) x (B)		<b>\$ 11,671,780,000 *(C)</b>	Medicaid and CHIP US dept labor \$ 784
<b>Cost of Family Entitlements per month</b>	784+179= (D)	<b>\$ 963</b>	** TANF, CHIP Medicaid	** TANF =466/MO for 60months so used 38% for 13 yrs which is 179/month (For comparison, Cato Institute family of 3 is \$2917/mo.-)
<b>Entitlement Savings for Year 1 ( 12 months)</b>	(A) x (D) x 12		<b>(E) \$ 10,562,184,000</b>	(THEREFORE 963/mo. is very conservative.)
<b>First year Savings Birth, Early Childhood and minimum Entitlements.....</b>			<b>(F) \$ 22,233,964,000</b>	466x60= 27960 179x12x13= 27924
<b>Percent of Unintended Births Used for Future Years:</b>	<b>50%</b> (G)			Used 50%% of Unintended Pregnancies since some families would drop out over time. (VERY CONSERVATIVE)
<b>Savings on Family Entitlements per Year using 50% of births for 11 more years</b>	(E) x (G) x( 11)		<b>(X) \$ 58,092,012,000</b>	\$ 12,026,412,000
<b>Total Savings 1st Year Plus 11 years of "some" Entitlements</b>	(F) +(X)		<b>(N) \$ 80,325,976,000</b>	
<b>ADD</b>				
<b>Food Stamp Program (50% of population)</b>				
<b>Cost/Year/ Client &amp; child for Food Stamps</b>	(H) x 12 x(A) x G	<b>\$ 267 /mo. (H)</b>	<b>\$ 1,464,228,000 (I)</b>	Kaiser Family Foundation 133.47/mo./person....took only 2 people Cato Institute uses \$416/mo.
<b>12 Year Savings From Food Stamp Costs</b>	(I) x 12		<b>\$ 17,570,736,000 (J)</b>	years 2-4 cost--> <b>2nd year no school \$ 6,745,320,000</b>
<small>(Food stamps not included in Year 1 above)</small>				
<b>Elementary School Program (k-8th grade)</b>	<b>90%</b> (P) Attend public schools			years 5 to 12--> <b>5th year including school \$ 15,477,219,000</b>
<b>Average cost/yr/child public School (K) times no. of children (A)--&gt;</b>	<b>\$ 10,615 (K)</b>	(K) x (A) x (P)	<b>\$ 8,731,899,000 (L)</b>	Source Census Bureau (average state)
<b>Years of School (k-8th grade).....9 years</b>	(L) x 9		<b>(M) \$ 78,587,091,000</b>	100%of Births, since all required to go k-8.
<b>Total Savings from family Planning from 1st. year Investment</b>	(N)+(J)+(M)		<b>\$ 176,483,803,000 Y</b>	
<b>Total Initial Government Investment:</b>		<b>\$ 2,370,000,000 Z</b>		<b>Return on Investment after 12 years 74.5 Times</b>

Notes:

1. This analysis is very conservative since we did not use 76 other programs available to this population. Some, such as Housing Assistance, SSDI, SSI, and EITC are quite substantial and would have vastly increased the savings. We only took the savings from elimination of unintended births the first 12 years and did not use high school or the fact that children are eligible until 18 years old. We were not able to study the many other costs associated with this population, such as incarceration rates and the attendant costs, or the drug related costs or foster care expenses due to the fact that we chose to only use benefits that all the people WOULD use. If any of the published numbers we used OR our assumptions, were 50% incorrect, the savings from Family Planning would still be an unbelievable number.

2. Our research comes from many sources and does not imply that these sources or anyone affiliated with them supports, endorses, or has participated in this project

**Defunding Family Planning, which 90% of Americans approve, will lead to a large increases in Teenage Pregnancy, Abortions and huge increases in Welfare costs.**